

**APPROVED**

ICC Evaluation Service, LLC
Western Regional Office
3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100
Brea, CA 92821
t: 1.800.423.6587, ext. 1
f: 562.695.4694
www.icc-es.org

FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION REPORT**Form Q-24**Date of Inspection: September 11th, 2025ICC-ES Evaluation Report Number*: ESR-4824

*Please fill out a separate Q-24 for each master/follower report number as applicable.

Reissue Date of Evaluation Report*: June 2025

*This date can be found under the Evaluation Report Number at the top of the first page of the evaluation report published on the ICC-ES website.

Revision or Correction Date of Evaluation Report*: N/A

*This date can be found under the Reissue Date at the top of the first page of the evaluation report published on the ICC-ES website.
DO NOT WRITE THE "SUBJECT TO RENEWAL" DATE IN THIS FIELD

Name of Report Holder: Modum ApSName of Manufacturing Facility: Modum ApSManufacturer's Representative Name and Title: Finn Regnhard Just Jensen / CEOManufacturer's Representative E-Mail Address: FJJ@Granly.dk Phone Number: +45 20631551Address of Inspected Facility: Linkøpingvej 8 DK-4900 Nakskov

Street

City

State

Country and Province, if outside of the United States: DenmarkNames of Products Inspected*: Modum Foldable Fire Escape Ladders

*Be sure to identify products using names provided in the evaluation report.

Signature of Manufacturer's Representative:

Date: 12.09.2025

In lieu of a handwritten signature, you may type your name above.

Name of Agency Conducting Inspection: Shanghai Hongjun Science&Technology Co., LtdName of Inspector: Marwa CherifInspector's E-Mail Address: m.cherif@icttglobal.org Phone Number: +351 926496774Inspector's Time of Arrival: 09:00 a.m. (CET) Inspector's Time of Departure: 10:00 a.m. (CET)Was product being produced at the time of inspection? Yes No Signature of Inspector: MARWA CHERIF Date: 11-09-2025

In lieu of a handwritten signature, you may type your name above.

Name of ICC-ES Staff Person Reviewing This Report: (For ICC-ES Internal Use)**APPROVED**

Date:

By Diana Salas at 3:49 pm, Sep 18, 2025June 11, 2025

Instructions

Introduction: The purposes of the follow-up plant inspection are to verify that the product being produced is consistent with the product used in the qualifying tests and recognized in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing; that the documented quality system continues to meet ICC-ES requirements; and that the quality system is effectively implemented.

The Plant Inspection: The inspector should verify that documents and processes (including the current quality documentation) observed at the listee or report holder's facility during the inspection are consistent with the information provided by ICC-ES. A simple check in the Yes/No boxes may not suffice; if needed, use the comments sections or use an attached document for your remarks or explanations. The inspector should, to the extent possible, inspect the product recognized in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing to assess conformance to specifications as described in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing and ICC-ES supporting documents. Additionally, the inspector must use the ICC-ES supporting documents, the manufacturer's current quality documentation and operating procedures, and the manufacturing process records, to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the facility's quality management system. **If there are questions regarding which documents to verify, please contact ICC-ES (inspections@icc-es.org).**

The Report: The inspector will complete this report during the inspection. If there is a nonconformity, the nonconformity will be detailed in the inspection report, and a Corrective Action Request (CAR) will be issued. CARs must clearly state what is required by the ICC-ES Acceptance Criteria for Quality Documentation (AC10) and by the manufacturer's documented quality system, and what the inspector actually found. This Follow-up Inspection Report must be signed by the manufacturer's representative and by the inspector. A copy of this report, and any CARs, must be given to the manufacturer's representative (and/or the report holder or listee, if the manufacturer and the report holder or listee are different) at the conclusion of the inspection, and a copy must be forwarded to ICC-ES.

Resolution of CARS: The manufacturer must respond to each CAR within 30 days of the inspection. CARs must be resolved by the manufacturer (or the report holder or listee, if the manufacturer and the report holder or listee are different) to the satisfaction of ICC-ES. ICC-ES reserves the right to require another follow-up inspection, to confirm corrective actions, when deemed necessary.

When applicable, the inspector shall note any deviations from the inspection instructions in the Summary of the Inspection Section.

PRODUCT SAMPLING

INSPECTOR: Please ensure to complete sections 5b & 5c if product sampling is required.

MANUFACTURER: If product sampling is required, please ensure to send the selected product to:

E84 Samples:	All Other Samples:
ICC NTA, LLC 6151 Mumford Rd. Bryan, TX 77807	ICC NTA, LLC 257 E Randolph St. Nappanee, IN 46550

Please contact inspections@icc-es.org if you have any questions about this process.

Safety Note: Manufacturer to provide copies of Safety Data Sheets (SDSs) with all shipped materials

REVIEW OF NONCONFORMANCE(S) FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

Reviewed effectiveness of correction plan for nonconformance(s) issued during previous inspection?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Is the implementation of the resolution(s) satisfactory?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Is additional follow-up required? (please provide a comment if additional follow-up is required)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments: Reviewed previous inspection dated 25/03/2025 and found 0 corrective action		

PART A – PRODUCT VERIFICATION

1. Are the manufacturer's quality manual and operating procedures consistent with the quality documentation submitted to ICC-ES? Note any discrepancies and provide applicable copies.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: The documentation originally submitted to ICC-ES was reviewed prior to audit and no major changes were found since the previous inspection."Modum Foldable Fire Escape Ladder and Cornice Ladder _Factory Production Quality Control Manual" , dated December 1, 2021, revised on September 2025.		
2. Are the manufacturer's documented procedures, for inspection or testing of incoming materials, being carried out? Are the procedures consistent with the quality documents submitted to ICC-ES?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, pages 40-53. Incoming goods(rail profile,Rung , bracket,Screws,Release pin) are checked upon arrival. checked safety data sheet of Aluminium from the supplier "Hydro". Verified for: The item DLT 3143 rail profile EN AW-6060 T66 (order n. 500396), the purchase order, the drawing, and the inspection record : checklist dated 10/06/2025.		
3. Is this manufacturer conducting inspections and tests, as required in the quality documentation, for in-process quality control? Are these inspections and tests sufficient to ensure consistency of product quality? Are the procedures and tests consistent with what is described in the quality documents submitted to ICC-ES?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, pages 54-57 (Process Control Testing/ Sample ladder sizes and bracket locations, DWG_D221003).The manufacturer carries out the assembly of the ladder. Ladder is subject to visual and dimensional checks. checked the production log. No production for the US market, verified the checklist for the Escape ladder for another market (order n.1605 dated 12-08-2025)		
4. Is the manufacturer conducting final inspections and tests, prior to final approval and labeling of the finished product? Do these inspections or tests ensure that the product receiving the label complies with the applicable specifications and design values?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, pages 58-59. The function of the ladder is tested manually. verified "Final inspection checklist" for the Escape lader (order n.1605 dated 12-08-2025)		
5a. Using the identification that is applied to the finished product, conduct a traceability study by taking a finished product and tracing it back to the production and quality control records. Is the traceability adequate? List identification used in Comments below (Applicable only to listing reports for Canadian certifications).	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: Traceability Study on lader (order n.1605 dated 12-08-2025) was successful.The order number is used to ensure traceability which is shown on the inspection checklist and is printed on the label. QCM, page 38.		

<p>If product sampling is required, provide name of sample product selected. The selected sample should be tagged accordingly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Samples for testing and examination shall be selected in accordance with the specified relevant requirements. They shall be representative of the models to be listed and made using components and subassemblies identical to those used in production. Samples selected shall also be made from production tools and assembled using methods established for the production run. ▪ Obtain enough samples for testing using a means so that the selected samples cannot be substituted. ▪ Whenever possible, also obtain an equal number of samples from the same batch for backup, using the same method above, and leave these samples with the manufacturer. The backup samples are to be used in case the original samples, being sent to the laboratory, get lost during shipping or in case there is a failure during testing by the laboratory and the listee wants to retest to confirm the results. The manufacturer can use the backup samples again once the laboratory has issued a test report based on the original selected samples. ▪ Mark sampled product with the following information: Date, Inspector Initials VT Report Number & location code (example: 3/1/22 AH VT ESR-9999 C0000-01) 			
5b.	Date of Manufacture:	Product Name: <small>*Be sure to identify products using names provided in the evaluation report.</small>	
	Model:	Test Type:	
Batch/Lot #:		#Qty sampled:	
5c.	If finished product was unavailable, provide explanation.		
<p>6a. Does this facility presently label product for additional listees? If yes, please complete Section 6b.</p> <p>List the name of each private label listee for which there is labeling with the ICC-ES report number and/or mark. (A list of authorized listees appears below the report holder's name on the evaluation report. Do not list Follower Reports in this Section. Only Additional Listees recognized under the evaluation report(s) this Q-24 is being submitted for which you have observed labeling)</p>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6b.			
<p>Comments:</p> <p>6c. Is the product labeling consistent with what is described in the quality documentation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Is the product labeling consistent with what is described in the "Identification" section of the evaluation report or listing? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(Verify that these guidelines apply to all products labeled with the ICC-ES report number or mark.)</p> <p>If product is not being labeled, please verify that the manufacturer has the procedures and capability to label in accordance with the quality documentation and Identification section of the evaluation report or listing if/when labeling occurs.</p>			
<p>Comments: QCM, p 63-64. Quality system has a description of the product label as well as an example. verified compliance of procedure and label</p>			

PART B – QUALITY SYSTEM VERIFICATION

AC10 Section	AC10 REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY SYSTEM IMPLEMENTED?	
2.1.2	Is the facility street address, telephone number and contact person, as noted in the documentation, correct?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM p1 - Finn Regnhard Just Jensen / CEO , is listed as the contact. Telephone number and address are noted and were confirmed as correct.			
2.1.3	Is the manufacturer reviewing the quality system documentation a minimum of once every two (2) years?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Is there a revision log included in the quality documentation that is kept current and dated? (If the date of the quality documentation provided by ICC-ES for the follow-up inspection is different from the date of the quality documentation at the manufacturing plant, or if revisions have been made to the quality documentation, please provide to ICC-ES a copy of the revision record with an explanation of the changes that were made.)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, p 3 . Quality Control Manual shall be reviewed at least a minimum of once every two (2) years. revision history was reviewed and no changes were found since the previous inspection.			
2.1.6	Is the product flowchart or the description of production methods, as contained in the manufacturer's quality documentation, representative of the actual production flow and process?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, p 39. The manufacturer carries out the assembly of the ladder. verified via plant floor walkthrough			
2.1.7	ICC-ES must be notified of any significant product changes so that those changes may be evaluated and documented.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the quality documentation have procedures to notify ICC-ES and other appropriate parties of any product changes?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Has the product changed significantly since the last inspection? If yes, describe the change in the comments section below.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, pages 61-62. Quality system has a procedure to notify certification bodies of significant product changes. A "Product Change Request Form" will be completed in case of change. No significant change since the last inspection			
2.1.8	Is the organizational chart up-to-date, and are the duties and responsibilities of key positions in the quality program identified?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM page 65-67.Organizational chart is up to date. QM p 67 shows the duties and responsibilities of key positions within the quality system.			
2.1.9	Are the products packaged and stored per the manufacturer's quality documentation and operating procedures?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM page 75. The ladder is wrapped in cardboard to prevent damage to the ladder during transport. Excess cardboard is cut off.			
2.1.10	Are records of all significant complaints about the product being kept?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Is appropriate action being taken with respect to such complaints?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Are the actions being documented?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, pages 70-71. A "Complaint Form / Reklamation" will be filled out. No complaints recorded against the listed product			
2.5	Are nonconforming materials segregated from conforming materials as directed in this manufacturer's quality manual and operating procedures?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, page 60. Failures will be indicated in the product checklist. damaged products are maintained in as special box.			
2.6.1	Does the manufacturer maintain a list that includes all the critical measuring and test equipment?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the equipment identified on this list have current calibration records? List the equipment and calibration date reviewed during inspection in the Comments section below (Applicable only to listing reports for Canadian certification).	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Form Q-24 Inspection Report**Page 7 of 9**

Comments: QCM, page 40/74. They only use tape measure and caliper . Example: Digital Caliper (certif n. 3531504047; ID no. 91070662) Calibrated by Kyocera Unimerco Tooling A/S (an ISO/IEC Standard 17025 Accredited Lab), Expires 11/2025.			
2.7.1	Is the manufacturer actually using the forms, checklists and reports identified in the manufacturer's quality documentation to record manufacturing and quality process metrics?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, identifies the forms used to record the manufacturing and quality metrics. Confirmed via plant floor walkthrough and traceability study.			
2.7.2	Are the quality records as noted in item 2.7.1, above (forms, checklists and reports), approved by responsible personnel as required by the manufacturer's quality documentation?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, p 73. Quality records are approved by responsible personnel.			
2.7.3	Are all manufacturing and quality records maintained for a minimum of two years? (Examples are reports resulting from the manufacturer's own tests and inspections.)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: QCM, p 74. Quality records will be kept for at least two years			

Form Q-24 Inspection Report Summary of the Inspection

Page 8 of 9

Was inspection conducted *remotely*? YES NO

If "YES", did technology used allow you to achieve your inspection objectives? YES NO*

(*Please provide details [Click or tap here to enter text.](#))

Inspector should note general observations on the manufacturer's quality system, facility and product manufacturing process. (Include details as appropriate.)

Conducted online inspection via Microsoft Teams with Finn Regnhard Just Jensen / CEO and Anders Christensen /Production Manager on 11/09/2025. they were helpful and had all documentation available for review upon request. Reviewed previous inspection dated 25/03/2025 and found no corrective actions. Reviewed production and quality processes and found no discrepancies during plant floor walkthrough. Calibrations are provided by ISO/IEC Standard 17025 accredited labs, and traceability back to mill certification was successful. 0 CAR

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS (CARs)

Findings should be entered in the blocks provided below, and defined as falling into one of four categories:

- **Major CAR** – A major nonconformity (e.g., change of key raw materials, significantly different manufacturing process, different final product specifications) that must be resolved to the satisfaction of the ICC-ES technical staff.
- **Minor CAR** – A relatively minor nonconformity (e.g., equipment out of calibration, changes to forms, inadequately trained personnel) that can be resolved to the satisfaction of the inspector, in most cases, without much difficulty.
- **Concern** – A weakness in the quality system that needs to be corrected to head off the possibility of future CARs.
- **Comment** – A suggestion for improvement.

CARs must be addressed within 30 days of the inspection. The manufacturer or report holder should respond with a written report on the corrective actions taken, and objective evidence of the action. Objective evidence could be in the form of revised documents, new documents, photographs, etc.

Findings (check the category, and describe the details of the finding. Use a separate sheet if necessary):

CAR NO.	Major CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Concern <input type="checkbox"/>	Comment <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Concern <input type="checkbox"/>	Comment <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Concern <input type="checkbox"/>	Comment <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor CAR <input type="checkbox"/>	Concern <input type="checkbox"/>	Comment <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Form Q-24 Inspection Report

Page 9 of 9

Comments: